MINUTES OF THE 1519TH MEETING OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION HELD ON THURSDAY, DECEMBER 12, 2019.

A. The minutes of the 1518th of the Delhi Urban Art Commission held on 04.12.2019 were confirmed and approved.

Sl. No	PROPOSAL	OBSERVATIONS /RECOMMENDATIONS	DECISION	REMARKS
B.	Action Taken Report on Minutes of the 1517th meeting held on November 27, 2019.	The Action Taken Report on the minutes of the 1517th meeting of the Commission held on November 27, 2019 was tabled.	Noted by the Commission.	
C. Project Proposals				
1	Revised layout plan in respect of 18-A Rajpur Road, Civil Lines. *(Conceptual stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier the building plans proposal was approved by the Commission at its meeting held on March 31, 2010. 3. The proposal was scrutinized, and found acceptable, the following observations were made: i. The submitted elevations seem to be very basic. They need to be reviewed & elaborated. The windows shall be made box-type instead of provide chajjas to provide protection from weather. ii. Functional furniture layout including cupboard position be given to	The concept of the proposal accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		understand the functioning better. iii. It is suggested that the entry to the building should also be opened up through the Front lawn. iv. A proper landscape plan indicated with the details of the trees planted, types of species on an appropriate scale, (in terms of the point nos. Six of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org is ensured. v. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. vi. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately.		

		vii. All the above observations given by the Commission should be adhered to in the formal proposal to be subsequently submitted thereafter.		
2	Building plans in respect of Wholesale Fruit and Vegetable-cum-Food Grain Market Complex, IFC, Ghazipur.	1. The proposal forwarded by the DDA (online) was considered by the Commission at its meeting held on November 13, 2019. It was not approved, and specific observations were given. 2. The revised proposal was scrutinised, and found not acceptable, following observation was made: i. It was observed that the earlier observations of the Commission communicated vide DUAC observation letter no. OL-01111922049 dated 21.11.2019 have not been complied with. The architect was advised to comply observations and to furnish a pointwise reply.	Not approved, observation given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.
3	Building plans in respect of Toy Bank-cum-Cultural Centre at plot no. 28 & 29, DDU Marg.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the North DMC (online) for consideration in the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinized, and found not acceptable following observations were given: i. Architect was not available on skype and mobile.	Not approved, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		ii. The key plan is not clear and does not depict the site location properly. iii. The orientation of the plot is not clearly understood. It shall be kept same throughout all the drawings for clear understanding. iv. The project report does not describe the project details clearly, the need for the building i.e. the purpose of the Toy bank cum cultural centre and the type of footfall expected i.e. age group of people and user interaction with different building spaces. v. The correlation between the adjoining road and the building is not established in any drawings. vi. A sufficient number of Self-explanatory 3D views (at least 6 in numbers) clearly showing the proposed design scheme from all sides with proper annotations specifying materials to be used and corresponding to proposal drawings be submitted. vii. The proposed elevation seems to have an external layer on the façade. The same is not reflected in the drawings, thus the construction and other details are not understood. A detailed plan		

		shall be submitted clearly highlighting the architectural elements in the elevation along with the other relevant details. viii. Also, the elevation does not seem to have any architectural elements or window boxing etc. to protect it from harsh weather conditions. The same need to be provided in the design scheme. ix. The parking scheme of the site is not clear. The movement of the cars from entry point to the basement is not shown. Also, the turning radius to approach the car lift seems to be inappropriate. A comprehensive functional parking plan with no. of cars, car movement pattern and other relevant details (including location of structural columns etc.) need to be submitted. x. A conflict-free movement/ Pedestrian movement plan through the whole complex with its linkages to the various areas, for the convenient movement of the children & the elderly be ensured and submitted along with other relevant drawings. xi. Proper signages to be provided throughout the site at various locations for proper navigation. xii. Considering that an auditorium has been proposed on 2nd/ 3rd		

		floor, the Lift lobby provided seems to be very narrow. It needs to be widened for efficient circulation. xiii. An auditorium is shown on the 2nd/ 3rd floor. The provisions of toilets, for auditorium, seems to be insufficient. They shall be increased as per the requirements with proper screening. The common areas and the exit provided seem to be insufficient. They shall be designed appropriately with minimum standard specified in (UBBL-2016). xiv. The ramps provided in the site shall be as per standards mentioned in Unified Building bye laws 2016 (UBBL-2016). xv. The door near stairs to be reworked as they might hinder in the movement. xvi. Details of light and ventilation are not clear in the building. xvii. The existing tree in the site shall be reflected on the landscape plan. The landscape plan should indicate the details of the trees planted, existing trees, levels, types of species on an appropriate scale, (in terms of the point nos. Six of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org is ensured.		

		xviii. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. xix. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately. xx. All the portions of the site be made universally accessible as per provisions made in Chapter 11 "Provision for Universal Design for Differently Abled, Elderly and Children" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi.		
4	Plans in respect of Bharat Vandana Park, Near sector-9 Metro Station, Dwarka.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the DDA (online) for consideration in the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinized, and found acceptable following observations were given:	Approved, observations given.	Shri Samir Mathur, Member DUAC recused himself during the consideration of

		i. The proposal shows 3 ticketing booth on either sides of the site. It is suggested to provide a 4th ticketing booth on the 45.0m wide road along the drain for the convenience of the users. ii. It was suggested that design of the boundary wall shall be such that it does not screen the view of the inside development. It can be developed as a toe wall or a low height wall which allows an active edge along the boundary.		the proposal. The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.
5	Plans in respect of Tower car parking at Green Park. *(Conceptual stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) was considered at Commission's meeting held on November 6, 2019. The concept of the proposal not approved, and specific observations were given. 2. The revised proposal was scrutinised, and found not acceptable, following observations were made: i. Earlier observations of the Commission communicated vide DUAC observation letter no. OL-02091927100 dated 19.09.2019 and letter no. OL-22101927118 dated 14.11.2019 have not been complied with.	The concept of the proposal not accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		ii. The architect was advised to comply observations and to furnish a pointwise reply.		
6	Building plans in respect of East Delhi Hub at Karkardooma. *(Conceptual stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinised, and found not acceptable, following observations were made: i. A discussion was held with the architect on Skype. ii. Considering the scale and size of the project (approx. 25.5 Ha), a detailed 3d walkthrough is required to be submitted. iii. Considering the scale of the project, it was suggested to the architect/proponent, to consider approvals of the proposal in the following manner: *a. The Master Plan of the entire scheme.* *b. Approvals of the individual blocks.* iv. The project being the 1st TOD model project should set an example	The concept of the proposal not accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		for such future projects. The submitted proposal is incomplete i.e. it does not have detailed drawings of proposed residential/commercial/civic buildings etc. which can be scrutinized by the Commission to be commented upon. The proposal should contain layout plans/detailed plan proposals of buildings, parking, and vehicular circulation. v. Pedestrian movement through the whole complex with its linkages to the various blocks, other facilities for the convenient and uninterrupted movement of the users to be ensured and clearly indicated in the entire site. vi. Pedestrian circulation and linkages from the nearest Public transport point (Bus stop, metro station, etc.) to be marked on the Site plan and continuous pedestrian circulation along with proper signage, need to be ensured and indicated adequately on the layout plan. vii. A comprehensive traffic circulation and parking plan for the entire site to be submitted for both vehicular & pedestrian, including scheme for resolving conflicts between them. viii. Drop-off point for each block shall be indicated in drawings, designed with appropriate spaces for vehicles, pedestrians etc. of an appropriate		

		scale to the building. ix. Apart from the submitted views, 3d views showing the site in the actual site surrounding context shall be submitted to understand the site's connectivity and access from the surroundings. x. A sufficient number of Self-explanatory 3D views (at least 6 in numbers) for any typical blocks clearly showing the proposed design scheme with proper annotations specifying materials to be used and corresponding to proposal drawings be submitted. xi. Appropriate number of sections (longitudinal as well as cross) be cut through the whole site for better understanding of the massing and design scheme. xii. There is no mention as to how the water requirement will be met for such a vast site and considering its usages. Details of the same shall be given in the project report. Also, the details of the wastewater generated in the site, its treatment at site and usages need to be detailed/given clearly. xiii. An appropriate solid waste management plan be submitted which shows the location, storage etc. for treatment/waste disposal. The		

		details of the same shall be provided with appropriate drawings. xiv. Appropriate locations shall be identified and marked on relevant plans for Rainwater harvesting. xv. The site is located in a congested surrounding Karkardooma and the existing roads from where entry/ exists to the proposed site planned, are already severely choked with traffic. A comprehensive Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) to be conducted for the surrounding areas to understand the volume of generated traffic for the proposed population (approx. 25,000 persons excluding floating population for commercial areas/civic amenities etc.) which would impact the neighbourhood areas also. The volumes shall be calculated for the peak hours, non-peak hours etc. and co-related to the provisions in the proposal (road widths, road sections, parking etc.). xvi. As per report submitted by the architect/proponent, skywalks are suggested in the proposed design scheme. But, their integration to other buildings is not reflected in plans/ 3D views. These structures alter the built form substantially and should be incorporated in design scheme from inception to make the design clearer.		

		xvii. The elements of sustainability are missing in the design scheme. These shall be identified and marked on the plans. Roof-top utilities shall also be shown in the plan/ 3D views and on the relevant drawings. The screening for the same shall also be mentioned and marked clearly in the plans/3D views. xviii. The landscape plan should be detailed enough. It should indicate the details of the trees planted, types of species on an appropriate scale, (in terms of the point nos. six of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org is ensured. It should be signed by the qualified/competent landscape architect & the urban designers, as the case may be, in terms of the provisions as stipulated under clause 2.13 of UBBL-2016. xix. Public art of suitable scale to the context (for commercial areas), which is also visible from outside the site, to be installed. The public art provisions as per Chapter 13 "Provision for Public Art" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi, and in terms of the point nos. 14 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org need to be made.		

		xx. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. xxi. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately. xxii. All the portions of the site be made universally accessible as per provisions made in Chapter 11 "Provision for Universal Design for Differently Abled, Elderly and Children" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi.		
7	Plans in respect of Delhi Cycle walk, South Delhi Multiple location as per Alignment Plan. *(Conceptual stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinised, and found not acceptable, following observations were made: i. The Commission appreciates the architect for envisioning project of	The concept of the proposal not accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		such scale for the city, which explores a sustainable mode of connecting various parts of the city. ii. The project being visionary although, is too idealistic and might be overlooking ground realities which may hinder the development/implementation of the project including land availability, safety and security of the users (especially children, women and elderly) etc. iii. It is strongly suggested that a model stretch of about 1-2kms (instead of the entire 140kms) should be initially tried/detailed as a demonstration to test the feasibility and response. The stretch shall be detailed thoroughly incorporating various elements of design, accessibility, sustainability, safety and other such aspects which make it fit for all types of users. iv. The space below the proposed structure (in the case of elevated stretch) would become a no-man's land if not properly designed/ looked into. The details for the under spaces of the elevated cycle walks shall be submitted along with designs details. v. The design shall ensure utmost safety and security of the users (especially children, women and elderly) as the suggested route passes		

		through various city greens which are unsafe zones and are not properly lit in the later hours of the day.		
8	Layout & Building plans In respect of Hospital Building Site No-1, Near Archana Cinema, Greater Kailash-I. *(Conceptual Stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier, the proposal forwarded by the South DMC (Online) was approved by the Commission at its meeting held on October 30, 2019. 3. Also the concept of the proposal was accepted by the Commission at its meeting held on July 17, 2019. 4. The revised conceptual proposal was scrutinised, and the concept of the proposal found acceptable, following observation were given: i. It is suggested that the peripheral road measuring 9.0m to be modified into 6.0m (metal road) + 3.0m Hard green (along the boundary wall) to minimize the metalled part and reduce the heat island effect. ii. The green patch shown on the periphery outside the boundary wall is actually a pavement. It needs to be portrayed correctly.	The concept of the proposal accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

9	Layout & Building plans In respect of Hospital Building Site No-2, Near Archana Cinema, Greater Kailash-I. *(Conceptual Stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier, the proposal forwarded by the South DMC (Online) was approved by the Commission at its meeting held on October 30, 2019. 3. Also the concept of the proposal was accepted by the Commission at its meeting held on July 17, 2019. 4. The revised conceptual proposal was scrutinised, and the concept of the proposal found acceptable, following observations were given: i. It is suggested that the peripheral road measuring 9.0m to be modified into 6.0m (metal road) + 3.0m Hard green (along the boundary wall) to minimize the metalled part and reduce the heat island effect. ii. The green patch shown on the periphery outside the boundary wall is actually a pavement. It needs to be portrayed correctly.	The concept of the proposal accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

10	Building plans in respect of CRPF HQ Bldg. at CGO Complex.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the CPWD (online) for consideration in the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinized, and found acceptable following observations were given: i. The green area near the basement (entry/exit) area can be extended and merged with the pavement green to make a continuous green patch. This would allow navigable vehicular circulation and allow more open green space in the site. ii. The use of glass shall be minimized as Delhi weather is composite and does not support large glazed panels, it would also help reducing the air-conditioning load. iii. Overall, in the site, the green space shall be maximised and the road network shall be minimized. iv. Public art of suitable scale to the context, which is also visible from outside the site, to be installed. The public art provisions as per Chapter 13 “Provision for Public Art” of the Unified Building Bye-Laws	Approved, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		2016 (UBBL) for Delhi, and in terms of the point nos. 14 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org need to be made. v. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. vi. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately.		
11	Building plans in respect of CPJ College of Higher Studies at Narela. *(Conceptual stage).*	1. The proposal was directly submitted by the architect (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier, the building plans proposal was approved by the Commission at its meeting held on November 10, 2008. NOC for completion plans proposal was agreed by the Commission at its meeting held on June 1, 2016.	The concept of the proposal accepted, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		3. The conceptual proposal was scrutinised, and the concept of the proposal found acceptable, following observations were given: i. The two levels of the stilts provided for parking shall be appropriately screened using appropriate mechanisms. ii. Structure arrangement in the covered parking area, if designed on the shorter span, would optimize the number of parking and enable more number of car parks. The parking scheme lacks space earmarked for 2 wheeler parking. iii. The proposal mentions 68ECS car parking on the surface. Although, the layout does not clarify the accommodation of the mentioned car parking. Parking circulation (in and out) to the basement is not clear from the drawings. The complete circulation shall be clearly marked in the relevant drawings. iv. Pedestrian movement through the whole complex with its linkages to the various blocks, other facilities for the convenient movement of the users, be ensured.		

		v. Elevation of the proposed building to be enhanced from the existing building in the complex. The equipments (air conditioners) in the existing buildings shall be appropriately screened. vi. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. vii. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately. viii. Public art of suitable scale to the context to be installed. The public art provisions as per Chapter 13 "Provision for Public Art" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi, and in terms of the point nos. 14 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org need to be		

		made. ix. All the portions of the site be made universally accessible as per provisions made in Chapter 11 "Provision for Universal Design for Differently Abled, Elderly and Children" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi. x. All the above observations given by the Commission should be adhered to in the formal proposal to be subsequently submitted thereafter.		
12	Completion plans in respect of Extn., of G.B. Pant Polytechnic for Development of IIIT D at Okhla Phase-III.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the South DMC (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier the Commission approved the building plans of the proposal at its meeting held on May 20, 2015. 3. Now the revised completion plans proposal received online was scrutinized and found acceptable, following observations are given: i. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on	NOC approved, Observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		DUAC website at www.duac.org. ii. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately.		
13	Building plans in respect of Primary School at Block-A, Preet Vihar.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the East DMC (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. Earlier, the proposal for the Senior Secondary School in respect of the same building was considered and not approved by the Commission at its meeting held on September 12, 2019. 3. The earlier proposal for the nursery school in respect of the same building was approved by the Commission at its meeting held on November 19, 2004. 4. The revised proposal was scrutinized and found acceptable, following observations were given: i. All service equipment, solar panels, etc. at the terrace and all other	Approved.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		levels should be camouflaged (as per point nos. 10, 11 &12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. ii. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified building bye-laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately.		
D. Additional items				
1	Building plans in respect of CNG Station at Okhla More, Mathura Road for Indraprastha Gas Limited.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the South DMC (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinized, and found not acceptable, the following observations were made: i. The submitted 3D views are improper and unacceptable. They shall be revised and submitted with clarity and better viewing angles. ii. It is suggested to create an identity to the petrol pump by appropriate architectural elements/treatments.	Not approved, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		iii. Appropriate signages/ graphics shall be installed in the building complex to ensure proper way finding and correlated with the drawings/3D views. iv. It is to be ensured that the surface water at the site from washing cars etc. shall be clinically treated before entering the Rainwater harvesting (RWH) pit. v. The proposed artwork is not acceptable and shall be modified and resubmitted. Public art of suitable scale to the context to be installed as per Chapter 13 "Provision for Public Art" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi, and in terms of the point nos. 14 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org need to be made. vi. The proposed services/utilities on the roof, elevation etc. shall be appropriately screened/ properly camouflaged (in terms of the point nos. 10, 11 & 12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval), available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. vii. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10		

		"Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately in the design proposal.		
2	Building plans in respect of CNG Station at Sector-3, R.K. Puram for Indraprastha Gas Limited.	1. The proposal was forwarded by the South DMC (online) for consideration of the Commission. 2. The proposal was scrutinized, and found not acceptable, the following observations were made: i. The submitted 3D views are improper and unacceptable. They shall be revised and submitted with clarity and better viewing angles. ii. It is suggested to create an identity to the petrol pump by appropriate architectural elements/treatments. iii. Appropriate signages/ graphics shall be installed in the building complex to ensure proper way finding and correlated with the drawings/3D views.	Not approved, observations given.	The Commission decided to take action in the matter without awaiting confirmation of the minutes of the meeting.

		iv. It is to be ensured that the surface water at the site from washing cars etc. shall be clinically treated before entering the Rainwater harvesting (RWH) pit. v. The proposed artwork is not acceptable and shall be modified and resubmitted. Public art of suitable scale to the context to be installed as per Chapter 13 "Provision for Public Art" of the Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 (UBBL) for Delhi, and in terms of the point nos. 14 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org need to be made. vi. The proposed services/utilities on the roof, elevation etc. shall be appropriately screened/ properly camouflaged (in terms of the point nos. 10, 11 & 12 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval), available on DUAC website at www.duac.org. vii. Sustainability features as per provisions mentioned in Unified Building Bye-Laws 2016 for Delhi (UBBL 2016), Chapter-10 "Provisions for Green Buildings", and in terms of point no. 7 of the CPAA (Criterion for Project Assessment and Approval) available on the DUAC website at www.duac.org shall also be incorporated appropriately in the design proposal.		

List of participants at the meeting of the Commission held on December 12, 2019

(A) Delhi Urban Art Commission

1. Prof. Dr P.S.N. Rao, Chairman, DUAC
2. Shri Samir Mathur, Member, DUAC
3. Shri Abhimanyu Dalal, Member, DUAC