

Received on 30.9.20

To,
Public Information Officer,
Delhi Urban Arts Commission,
Core-A, UG and 1st floor,
Indian Habitat Centre,
Lodhi Road,
Delhi.

Under rights to Information Act

Dear Sir/ Madam:-

I am owner of unit number 322,323 , in Metroplex East, Plot number:- 21, Lakshmi Nagar District Centre, Delhi:- 110092 (Old Radhu Palace Cinema). I am seeking the following information on the said project from your Commission as under:-

Kindly refer to the **1230th meeting (dated 17/06/2009)** and **1239th meeting (dated 7/10/2019)** held at your esteemed office.

1. What was the proposal forwarded by the DDA at the Commission meeting held on 17/06/2009?
- ✓2. Pursuant the above, what was the revised scheme forwarded by the DDA to the DUAC?
3. After revised scheme by DDA was scrutinized and found acceptable by DUAC, what were the observations made?
4. That in the confirmation of the 1239th meeting of the Commission on 07 /10/2009, what were the observations and decision on the proposal as scrutinized by DUAC?
5. What is the number of shops on ground, first, second, third and fourth floors respectively?
6. What is the number of toilets, floor wise and their dimensions as well as location?
7. What is the number of vehicles that can be parked at the basement and ground floors and whether they are single stacked or double stacked ?
8. What is the number of elevators and escalators on each floor and what is their direction of movement, up/down ?
9. Does the Commission permit the set up of food court on the fourth floor, beneath the Multiplex cinema Hall on the 5th floor ?
10. Has the DUAC ever issued a NOC to the DDA for the said project ?
11. Please provide the preconstruction and post construction 3 dimensional photographs of the said project.
12. Please provide us the site layout plans of the said project as submitted by DDA.

-2-

I am attaching a Postal order number 477 266765 dated 28/09/2020 as fees for this information.

Thanking you in anticipation.

Yours sincerely,

Mitali

Date:- 27/ 09/ 2020

Mitali Abhilesh Bembey,

Owner of unit no:- 322,323 (Metroplex East)

16, Central lane, Babar road,

Bengali market, New Delhi- 110001

Phone No:- 9968097286.

Mail: *sbembey@yahoo.com*.

Enclosed:- Copy of the 1230th MOM, dated 17/06/2009

:- Copy of the 1239th MOM, dated 7/10/2009.

**MINUTES OF THE 1230th MEETING OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION
HELD ON WEDNESDAY THE 17th JUNE 2009**

The List of the participants is attached in annexure -I

A. Confirmation of the minutes of the 1229th meeting of the Commission: -

The minutes of 1229th meeting of the Commission held on 12.06.09 were confirmed.

B. Decisions and observations in respect of the proposals scrutinized by the commission are as under:

SL. NO.	PROPOSAL	OBSERVATIONS /SUGGESTIONS	DECISION	REMARKS
1	Layout plan of facility-cum-shopping centre at Patparganj.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal submitted by the Director (Bldg.) (TYA), DDA was considered and discussed. 2. Earlier, the concept proposal had been approved by the Commission at its meeting held on April 10, 1992 with suggestions. 3. The Commission made the following observations:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The compliance of Commission's observations/suggestions of April 10, 1992 has not been made. ii. There is a major departure from our earlier approval in terms of design as well as the land uses such as the hotel has been proposed in place of Mahila Academy. Such a change should have been brought for approval to the Commission. iii. As had been suggested earlier, the water front should be exploited to create a better environment, recreation places etc. 4. The Commission decided that since there are major changes from the earlier scheme including change in landuses, the scheme should first be approved by the DDA itself and then submitted to the Commission. 	Not approved. Observations given	
2	Part Approval of Nehru Place phase-II layout	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. At a request of the Chief Architect, DDA, the consideration of the proposal had been postponed at Commission's ,meeting of May 27, 2009. 2. The proposal had earlier been considered at Commission's meeting held on April 15, 2009 and observations were made. 3. On the revised proposal, the Commission made the following observations:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The information submitted in respect of connectivity of the metro station area with the District Centre were inadequate. The Chief Architect was asked to indicate the Nehru Place Metro Station area entry/exit, parking, pedestrian movement in the context of the District Centre. ii. There was proposal of under-passes at two locations, one across the 26 mt. wide road near the metro line on the northern side and other on the eastern side need re-thinking. It is desirable to provide the pedestrians connectivity on grade with proper signages, change of floor texture and request lights for pedestrians. The possibility of providing pedestrian crossover (in place of a subway) on the eastern side below the metro line needs to be explored. iii. The development of the area around the metro station and adjacent to the District Centre needs to be prioritized. While showing the connectivity between the metro station and the district centre, the barrier free movement of physically challenged should be ensured. iv. The actual location of the metro line columns need to be shown in the piece of land on the eastern side. v. It need to be shown as to how the cultural activities will connect to the pedestrian movement. A suggestion was given for connecting the upper level of the cultural complex directly with the metro concourse level. vi. The hillock existing on northern side needs to be used as an asset to the site and could be developed as a feature and part of the visual entry. vii. The Commission reiterated its earlier observations made on August 2, 2006, which reads as ----"The Commission observed that the total parking of the complex need to be clearly indicated in the plans and latest traffic transportation study should also be put up for consideration by the Commission, before the scheme can be discussed." viii. The part layout comprising of plot no.3A and 3B for budget hotels and plot for multi level parking was approved with the above observations. ix. The revised part layout plan incorporating Commission's observations be submitted for releasing the approval. 	Only part layout comprising of plot nos. 3A and 3B and multi level parking plot is approved with observations	The Commission noted that the architect of the project stated that the revised proposal in compliance with the observations of the Commission will be submitted within two weeks.
3	Revised Building plans in respect of BGS International School at sector-5, Dwarka.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal had been forwarded by the DDA for consideration of the Commission. 2. The architect had submitted two different survey plans on May 8, 2009 and June 3, 2009 which show different locations of trees. 3. The architect was advised to submit a correct certified survey plan so 	Deferred. Observations given	

		that the scheme could be examined.		
4	Layout and building plans in respect of Shopping-cum-Multiplex at Laxmi Nagar District Centre, Radhu Palace Cinema.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal forwarded by the DDA was examined and the following observations were made:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. No additional exit to the main road should be created and the existing entry should also be used as an exit. ii. The vehicular circulation needs to be streamlined to avoid excessive movement of vehicles in front of the entrance. iii. No parking on the public street be shown. It should be confined to the property of the proposal. iv. Proper pedestrian walk way in front of the shops need to be created for the pedestrians. A feasible parking plan need to be submitted. v. The extensive use of glass in the building is not acceptable and should be cut to 50% for energy efficiency. vi. The entry/exit of basement parking need to be rationalized. vii. The latest site photographs should be submitted to the Commission. viii. The escalators that are provided should be as per the norms. ix. The revised proposal incorporating the Commission's observations should be routed through the DDA. 	Returned to DDA with observations	
5	Completion plans in respect of Maharani Avanti Bai CGHS Ltd., plot no. 15B, Sector-22, Dwarka.		NOC approved.	
6	Layout and building plans in respect of Police Station and Staff Qtrs. at New Usmanpur, Shastri Park District Centre.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal had been forwarded by the DDA for consideration of the Commission. 2. It was found that the location/site plan of the police station and the blocking were not tallying with the Commission's approved plan of District Centre Shastri Park. 3. The location of the housing block proposed was towards the main entry to the District Centre and would be facing the shopping area. 4. The location of the housing blocks needs to be revised by shifting them on the north-west side and shifting the Police Station block in the place where the housing block is shown. By doing this, it would be possible to create large open green area at the corner of G.T. Road and zonal road. 5. The design of the Police Station building as well as the housing blocks had serious problems of natural light ventilation, circulation and desired environment. 6. The total scheme be re-designed and put up for consideration of the Commission. 	Not approved. Observations given	
7	Plans in respect of Minister's Bungalow at plot no.1, Sunehri Bagh.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal had last been scrutinized by the Commission at its meeting held on May 27, 2009. and certain observations had been given. 2. On the revised plans, the Commission made the following observations:- <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The width of the garages which was inadequate, should be increased. ii. So as to provide easy access to garages, the garages block be shifted away from servant quarter block so as to create space in between. iii. The location of the water tanks at terrace be revised so that the same are not visible from the front side. iv. There are too many architectural elements proposed which need to be removed and the architectural expression simplified. v. Bigger landing space be created at the entrance. vi. The canopies at terrace level appear to be odd and should be removed. vii. The proposal incorporating the Commission's observations be submitted alongwith the model. viii. The Chief Architect, CPWD should also be present when the revised scheme is considered by the Commission. 	Not approved. Observations given	
8	Plans in respect of 15 Central Lane, Bengali Market.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal forwarded by the NDMC had been approved by the Commission with observations at its meeting held on March 30, 2009. 2. The clarifications furnished by the NDMC vide letter no. May 26 May 2009 were taken note of and the architect confirmed that the mummy and the lift machine room were there in the existing structure. 3. The proposal was approved. 	Approved	
9	Building plans in respect of Bhartiya Ekta Evam Vikas Pratishthan for Institutional Bldg. on plot no. 54, 55 & 56 Mahavat	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The proposal had been forwarded by the MCD for consideration of the Commission. 2. It was found that the entry to the complex had been taken from a less important, smaller road (rear side) and not from Deen Dayal Upadhyay Marg. The architect submitted that entry to the building is 	Not approved. Observations given	

ATTENDANCE SHEET

THE 1230TH MEETING OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 17, 2020

CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS, EX-OFFICIO MEMBER AND ADVISORS

Sl. No.	Name & Designation	Organization	Phone No.	Mobile No.	Email ID	Signature
1.	Prof. K.T. Ravikiran Chairman	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	kravikiran@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
2.	Prof. (Dr.) Navin Kumar Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	navin@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
3.	Prof. M. Shabeeh Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	shabeeh@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
4.	Mr. Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
5.	Dr. M.M. Katti JSD&I Member	DUAC	22641918	22641918	m.katti@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
6.	Ms. Sujata Chatterjee Dr. (DD) Member	DUAC	22641918	22641918	sujata@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
7.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
8.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]

ATTENDANCE SHEET

THE 1230TH MEETING OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION HELD ON WEDNESDAY, JUNE 17, 2020

SPECIAL INVITEES

Sl. No.	Name & Designation	Organization	Phone No.	Mobile No.	Email ID	Signature
1.	Mr. N.K. Singhania Member	DUAC	22641918	22641918	nksingania@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
2.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
3.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
4.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
5.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
6.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
7.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
8.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
9.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
10.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
11.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
12.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
13.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
14.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
15.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
16.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
17.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
18.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
19.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]
20.	Dr. (Dr.) Rishi Nanda Member	DUAC	9810051297	9810051297	rishinanda@duac.gov.in	[Signature]

**MINUTES OF THE 1239th MEETING OF THE DELHI URBAN ART COMMISSION
HELD ON WEDNESDAY THE 7th OCTOBER 2009**

The List of the participants is attached in annexure --I

A. The minutes of the 1237th and 1238th meetings of the Commission held on 23.09.2009 and 30.09.09 respectively could not be considered.

B. Project proposal :

SL. No.	PROPOSAL	OBSERVATIONS /SUGGESTIONS	DECISION	REMARKS
1	Plans in respect of Archery Preliminary Venue at Yamuna Sports Complex for Commonwealth Games-2010.	<p>The proposal had last been considered at Commission's meeting held on September 9, 2009. It had not been approved and certain observations were given. On the revised submission the Commission made the following observations :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Commission at its earlier meetings has been asking DDA for submission of circulation plan of the outside the venue area showing pedestrian movement connectivity with the public transport, bus stops, parking lots etc. The same has still not been submitted. From the submission made, the pedestrian movement, particularly arrival of the people to the venue was still not clear. The DDA officers submitted that a comprehensive movement plan for the venue has been finalized by the Delhi Government and the present scheme takes into consideration the provisions made in the overall movement plan. The Commission observed that this plan should be submitted to the Commission for approval. 2. The 40 ft. wide pedestrian path coming across the site was terminating abruptly at 'T' junction. This was considered not a workable proposition. This problem need to be resolved. 3. As observed earlier also the temporary construction on one corner of the plot is not considered by the Commission. 4. The proper detailing of the drip course from the projected portion of the roof need to be ensured. 5. The traffic and transportation plan should be superimposed on the landscape plan. 6. The drawings have not been prepared in a professional manner as there were number of discrepancies. <p>The proposal was approved with the condition with the DDA would submit the revised drawings incorporating above observations including submission of the overall movement plan, inside and outside the venue.</p>	Approved with observations.	The DDA officers promised to submit the revised plan by 9.10.09.
2	Plans in respect of Commonwealth Games Village 2010 - Facilities Block.	<p>The proposal had earlier been approved at preliminary stage at Commission's meeting held on December 19, 2007. The detail proposal now submitted by the office of the Chief Architect DDA was scrutinized. The</p>	Deferred with observations.	

		submission was found to be incomplete and inconsistent since the model submitted was old and the detail model and drawings of all the blocks had not been submitted. CFO approval is also required as per rules. In the light of these, the Commission was constrained to defer the consideration of the proposal.		
3	Plans in respect of Plot No.4A, District Centre, Mayur Vihar, Phase-I.	The proposal forwarded by the DDA had last been considered at Commission's meeting held on September 30, 2009. Since the submission had been found incomplete the consideration of the proposal had been deferred. The revised submission was found acceptable. A suggestion was given to the Architect to properly design the small structures at terrace level i.e. the gap between two structures either be closed or properly camouflaged.	Approved.	
4	Layout and building plans in respect of Wockhardt Hospital plot no.H-4/5, Road No.43, Block-A, Pitampura.	The proposal had been considered at Commission's meetings held on January 14, 2009 and April 29, 2009. It was not approved and certain observations were given. The revised proposal was scrutinized and the following observations were made :- 1. The entry/exit ramps of the basement should be reversed for smooth circulation and necessary changes incorporated in the proposal. 2. For an easy access to the emergency and the area outside could be raised. Further for smooth working, the building should be opened up on the rear side by providing another entry with a proper canopy.	Approved with observations.	
5	Layout and building plans in respect of Muthoot Hospital at Sector-10, Dwarka.	On a request of the Architect, consideration of the proposal was postponed.	Postponed	
6	Plans in respect of Shopping-cum-Multiplex at District Centre Laxmi Nagar.	The proposal forwarded by the DDA had been considered at Commission's meeting held on June 17, 2009. It had been returned to DDA with observations. The revised scheme forwarded by the DDA was scrutinized and found acceptable with following observations :- 1. Only one way traffic movement be proposed inside the complex. 2. There was no need of providing formal drop off area. 3. From the entry, pedestrian path with textured pattern be shown in the drawings. If required, the width of the entry/exit could be reduced for the purpose.	Approved with observations.	
7	Revised layout and building plans of location of ESS in respect of Swaroop Sadan CGHS Ltd., plot no.4, Sector-13, Dwarka.	The proposal had earlier been approved by the Commission at its meeting held on April 12, 2005. The proposal of change in the location of the ESS was considered acceptable.	Approved.	

		observations with details need to be submitted to the Commission for next stage consideration.	
15	Revised layout plan for Miranda House for Women at Delhi University.	<p>The proposal forwarded by the MCD had last been considered at Commission's meeting held on September 23, 2009. It was not approved and observations were given. On the revised submission following observations were made :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The revised proposal was considered not satisfactory. It was suggested that the blocking pattern need to be reworked so as to save all the trees with a minimal intervention in the sports lawn. 2. A suggestion was given to the Architect to propose the block on stilts parallel to the main wing of academic block, open at ground level so as to permit visual continuity of open space and to save the trees. If necessary, the part block could also be proposed on the side of science extension building creating a courtyard ensuring that no tree is cut. <p>The Architect was advised to put up a revised proposal as per the foregoing observations.</p>	Not approved. Observations given.
C. Additional Items			
1.	Gazette notification by Delhi Government in respect of Heritage Buildings/precincts etc. in NDMC area.	The Gazette Notification no.4/2/2009/UD/16565 dated 1.10.2009 received from Government of NCT of Delhi was placed before the Commission.	The Commission took note of.
2.	Additional Clover leaves at Noida More Intersection at Trans Yamuna Area - Site visit report	As decided at the Commission's meeting held on 12.06.2009, a site visit of the proposal was taken up on 19.9.2009. The site visit report was tabled before the Commission.	The site visit report was taken note of.